



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,154	12/02/2003	Kenneth A. Vlazny	3127-6066US	5283
24247	7590	06/27/2007		
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			EXAMINER THOMASSON, MEAGAN J	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/27/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/727,154

Applicant(s)

VLAZNY ET AL.

Examiner

Meagan Thomasson

Art Unit

3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-112 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-112 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. Claims 1-13 and 14-25, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of providing a patron an opportunity to select at least one runner from a list of runners, displaying a pool of wager options corresponding to the at least one runner, and providing the patron an opportunity to select at least one wager from the at least one displayed pool of wager options.
- II. Claims 26-32 and 33-39, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of providing at least one patron with the opportunity to place at least one first wager on a race, and providing the at least one patron with an opportunity to place at least one second wager on the race.
- III. Claims 40-43 and 44-47, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of providing at least one patron an opportunity to place at least one wager on the at least one runner, and marking an area of a display element representing the at least one runner.

- IV. Claims 48-59 and 60-70, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of selecting at least one portion of at least one wager on a race, display the at least one selection portion of the at least one wager, and providing the at least one patron an opportunity to place the at least one wager.
- V. Claims 71-78 and 79-86, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of displaying a table comprising at least one runner in a race, wherein at least one column of the table comprises the at least one runner and at least one row of the table comprises the at least one runner, wherein the at least one column and the at least one row intersect at at least one cell, and providing an opportunity to place at least one wager on the race by selecting the at least one cell.
- VI. Claims 87-90 and 91-94, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of providing a menu having at least one variable rule corresponding to at least one area of a display element, enabling an organization conducting the pari-mutuel gaming activity to modify the at least one variable rule, and changing the at least one area displayed on the display element in accordance with the modification of the at least one variable rule.
- VII. Claims 95-104 and 105-110, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of providing at opportunity to designate at least one rule for the placement of at least

one wager on at least one race, and automatically placing the at least one
wager on the at least one race.

VIII. Claims 111 and 112, drawn to a method of and system for conducting a
pari-mutuel gaming activity comprising the steps of providing an opportunity
to select an area on a display element, and allowing a patron to place more
than one multi-position or multi-leg wager, wherein the more than one multi-
position or multi-leg wager may be effectuated with reselecting a pool.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species
recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species
are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for
prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is
finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species
due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of
search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or
employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would
not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different
non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

**Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must
include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement**

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) **and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species**, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.


Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan Thomasson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2080. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 830-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Robert E Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3714

Meagan Thomasson
June 22, 2007